Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

No. CR 08-00730 WHA-12

v.

WALTER CHINCHILLA-LINAR,

Defendant.

ORDER RE MOTION TO FIND WARDEN OF GILMER FCI IN CONTEMPT OF COURT

Mr. Chinchilla-Linar has moved to hold the warden of Gilmer FCI in contempt for failure to address his medical conditions. These conditions and the apparent lack of care are troubling. The district courts, however, may only exercise contempt power in limited circumstances. Section 401 of Title 18 of the United States Code provides,

> A court of the United States shall have power to punish by fine or imprisonment, or both, at its discretion, such contempt of its authority, and none other, as--

- (1) Misbehavior of any person in its presence or so near thereto as to obstruct the administration of justice;
- (2) Misbehavior of any of its officers in their official transactions;
- (3) Disobedience or resistance to its lawful writ, process, order, rule, decree, or command.

Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The warden is not a party. He is not a witness. No order by the Court has been issued against the warden or the Bureau of Prisons for him to violate. Mr. Chinchilla-Linar has not articulated a valid basis for the Court's contempt power, so the Court lacks subject-matter jurisdiction. Mr. Chinchilla-Linar's proper remedy for any failure to provide medical care would be a civil suit.

The motion for contempt of court is **DENIED**.

This order notes that Attorney Mazer has not filed a motion for compassionate release since his appointment on November 17, 2020, which was for the purpose of preparing a motion for compassionate release (Dkt. No. 6608).

It is now August 2021. The filing includes exhibits that reveal that Mr. Chinchilla-Linar appears to have exhausted his administrative remedies with the Bureau of Prisons. Mr. Chinchilla-Linar received responses denying his motion(s) in July 2020 and again in February 2021. He appears to have appealed, in January 2021 the first (presumably) denial. The filing does not reveal whether that appeal was procedurally proper or if he has received a response. Mr. Chinchilla-Linar has since filed additional complaints about his lack of care with the prison, as has counsel (Dkt. No. 6729, Mazer Decl. Exhs. A, B).

Any motion for compassionate release shall be due no later than **SEPTEMBER 29.** Any opposition will be due October 13, and any reply October 20. A hearing will be held **OCTOBER 26 AT 2:00 P.M.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 30, 2021.

United States District Judge